UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/823,969	04/03/2001	Yoshinori Tanabe	1506.1006 (JDH)	9676
21171 STAAS & HAL	7590 02/25/200 SEY LLP	EXAMINER		
SUITE 700		BASHORE, WILLIAM L		
WASHINGTON	RK AVENUE, N.W. N, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2176	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/25/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 December 2007.  2a) This action is FINAL.  3b Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1.2.6.7 and 9 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5c) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6c) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8c) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8c) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8c) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner.  10c) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9c) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Application papers  9c) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9c) The paper of the priority documents in a required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some *c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
### William L. Bashore ### William L. Bashor	Office Action Comments	09/823,969	TANABE, YOSHINORI					
The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Exercision of time may be senition under the provisions of 27°CH 1.18(b), in to event, nowwer, may arely be timely find.  If INO period for reply is pecified above, the maximum statutory period will apply an evil looper SM (8) MON HIS from the realing date of this communication.  Failure to reply with the soot or extended period for ray bit. Sy shallow, cause displacing to be some ASHIOCHES (59 U.S. € 1.13).  Alter to yellow with the soot or extended period for ray bit. Sy shallow, cause and specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a new vill opper SM (8) MON HIS from the realing date of this communication.  Failure to reply with the soot or extended period for ray bit. Sy shallow cause and specified above, the maximum shallow is shallowed.  The period of the shallow is the condition of allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1.2.6.7 and 9 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) 1.2.6.7 and 9 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) 1.2.6.7 and 9 is/are pending in the application of the provision of	Oπice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  ** Takestants of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(a), inno event, noveteer, may a religible timely filled.  ** If No period for may be specified above the measurem statushory period wall upply and will expire the provisions of the measurem of the maining of the communication, even if limiting the or fill deep or the measurem of the communication, even if limiting the or fill deep or the communication.  **Palaus to regly within the set or extended period for egy will, 5 via datable period will apply and will expire the provision to become APARIDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133) or fill of the provision of the communication, even if limiting time of this communication, even if limiting time or the maining date of this communication, even if limiting time or maining date of this communication, even if limiting time or maining date of this communication, even if limiting time or maining date of this communication, even if limiting time or maining date of this communication, even if limiting time or maining date of this communication, even if limiting time or maining date of this communication, even if limiting time or maining date of this communication, even if limiting time or maining date or this communication, even if limiting time or maining date or this communication.  **Status**  **INCEPTION**  **Responsive to communication(s) filled on is a visual time time maining date or fill time time time time time time time time		William L. Bashore	2176					
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Elateations of the map be available under the provisions of 3 CFR 113(a), in no event, however, may a regity be timely filed after 30X (6) MSCN 1158 from the maining date of this communication.  Failth to regive within the soft or character proof for regives the 12 per soft of the communication of								
1)  Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 December 2007.  2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1,2,6,7 and 9 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) 1,2,6,7 and 9 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  4pplication Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) (d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1.  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) (d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1.  Acceptified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	<ul> <li>WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any</li> </ul>							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☐ Claim(s) 1.2.6.7 and 9 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.    All   Interview Summary (PTO-413)   Paper Notice/Notice of Informal Patent Application	Status							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☐ Claim(s) 1.2.6.7 and 9 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.    All   Interview Summary (PTO-413)   Paper Notice/Notice of Informal Patent Application	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 De	ecember 2007.						
3 ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4 ☐ Claim(s) 1.2.6.7 and 9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7 ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 8 ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 9 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1,2,6,7 and 9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  3)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5)  Notice of Internal Paper Rotes/Mail Date	·=		secution as to the merits is					
Al) Claim(s) 1.2.6.7 and 9 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s)								
4) Claim(s) 1.2.6,7 and 9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are rejected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in This National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Paper No(s)/Mail Date			0 0.0. 2.0.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-5808)  5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application	Disposition of Claims							
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO:SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,6,7 and 9</u> is/are pending in the application.							
6) Claim(s) 1,2,6,7 and 9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2 Notice of Portstsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	· · · · — · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	· ·	election requirement.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some cy None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  20 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	and dualities							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	Application Papers							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)			Examiner.					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application								
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	, ,					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  1 Notice of Informal Patent Application	The dath of declaration is objected to by the Ext	animer. Note the attached office	7,01011 01 1011111 1 0 102.					
a)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	<ul> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ul>							
		Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate					

Application/Control Number: 09/823,969 Page 2

Art Unit: 2176

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is responsive to communications: amendment filed 12/10/2007, to the original application filed 4/30/2001, with priority filing date of 9/21/2000.

2. Claims 1-2, 6-7, 9 pending. Claims 1, 2, 6-7, 9 are independent.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Davis et al. (hereinafter Davis), U.S. Patent No. 5,937,160 filed 5/1/1997, issued 8/10/1999.

In regard to independent claim 9, Davis teaches an invention which creates/updates HTML documents via replacement of proprietary extended tags with data, said invention embodied on a medium (Davis Abstract, column 5 lines 25-33).

Davis teaches reading an HTML document containing a proprietary <RPM> type tag, said HTML document updated via the replacement of proprietary (i.e. extended) tags <RPM> (said tag type defining various processing, i.e. <RPMTD>, etc., with said tags themselves not intended to be viewed in a browser) with text data accordingly (Davis column 5 lines 1-7, column 10 lines 30-41, 64-66, column 11 lines 1-12, column 14 lines 65-67 to column 15 lines 1-44)

Art Unit: 2176

Davis teaches reading an HTML document containing a proprietary <RPM> type tag, said HTML document updated via the replacement of proprietary (i.e. extended) tags <RPM> (said tag type defining various processing, i.e. <RPMTD>, etc., with said tags themselves not intended to be viewed in a browser) with text data accordingly. It is noted that the above <RPM> tag acts as a placeholder to be replaced with data accordingly. The final HTML document is generated according to the <RPMTD> instruction (retrieve time and date), said time and date inserted into said document instead of maintaining the <RPMTD> tag (Davis column 5 lines 1-7, column 10 lines 30-41, 64-66, column 11 lines 1-12, 20-25, column 14 lines 65-67 to column 15 lines 1-44).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1, 2, 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Davis et al. (hereinafter Davis), U.S. Patent No. 5,937,160 filed 5/1/1997, issued 8/10/1999, in view of Ono et al. (hereinafter Ono), U.S. Patent No. 6,964,013 filed 5/30/2000, issued 11/8/2005.

In regard to independent claim 1, Davis teaches an invention which creates/updates HTML documents via replacement of proprietary extended tags with data, said invention embodied on a medium (Davis Abstract, column 5 lines 25-33).

Davis teaches reading an HTML document containing a proprietary <RPM> type tag, said HTML document updated via the replacement of proprietary (i.e. extended) tags <RPM> (said tag type defining

Art Unit: 2176

various processing, i.e. <RPMTD>, etc., with said tags themselves not intended to be viewed in a browser) with text data accordingly (Davis column 5 lines 1-7, column 10 lines 30-41, 64-66, column 11 lines 1-12, column 14 lines 65-67 to column 15 lines 1-44)

Davis teaches the addition of an additional HTML (start and end) tag pair (controlling text bold parameter <B> - a character style) wrapped around an RPM type tag, said tag pair bolding the text replacing said RPM type tag (i.e. <B><RPMTD><B>) (Davis column 15 lines 20-29). It is noted that any type of text can lie between said <B> pair, including pairs of lower element tags, etc (hierarchically based tags).

Davis does not specifically teach "deleting" said <B> tag pair enclosing the above <RPMTD> tag. However, Ono teaches tag management means (i.e. managing document areas) for managing the deletion of an HTML tag pair (along with enclosed data) (i.e. a start and end tag) (Ono column 1 lines 63-67, column 7 lines 13-21). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply Ono's deletion management to Davis's tag pairs, providing Davis the benefit of flexible document editing via management of nesting or overlapping of <RPMTD> tag data via deletion (see Ono column 2 lines 5-12).

Davis teaches storage/presentation of a final HTML web page to a client via browser subsequent to updating (i.e. after an editor interchanges tags accordingly, and is subsequently interpreted by a Web browser) (Davis Abstract, at bottom, also column 16 lines 61-67).

Davis teaches reading an HTML document containing a proprietary <RPM> type tag, said HTML document updated via the replacement of proprietary (i.e. extended) tags <RPM> (said tag type defining various processing, i.e. <RPMTD>, etc., with said tags themselves not intended to be viewed in a browser) with text data accordingly. It is noted that the above <RPM> tag acts as a placeholder to be replaced with data accordingly. The final HTML document is generated according to the <RPMTD> instruction (retrieve time and date), said time and date inserted into said document instead of maintaining

the <RPMTD> tag (Davis column 5 lines 1-7, column 10 lines 30-41, 64-66, column 11 lines 1-12, 20-25, column 14 lines 65-67 to column 15 lines 1-44).

In regard to independent claim 2, claim 2 incorporates substantially similar subject matter as claimed in claim 1, and in further view of the following, is rejected along the same rationale.

Davis does not specifically teach an RPM type tag as an extended tag "pair". However, since it is well known in the hypertext related arts that tags are generally defined in pairs, and that Davis teaches that a tag can be defined as "any unique <u>set</u> of keyboard symbols used to designate the location and control the placement of incoming page revisions and also to cause specific tasks to be executed." (Davis column 15 lines 27-33), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to interpret an RPM tag as a tag pair, providing Davis the benefit of nesting tag pairs around other data accordingly (compare with claim 2 "...and said arbitrary text is enclosed by a predetermined identification extended tag pair".

In regard to independent claim 6, claim 6 reflects the methods comprising computer readable instructions used for implementing the medium based program as claimed in claim 1, and is rejected along the same rationale.

In regard to independent claim 7, claim 7 reflects the apparatus comprising computer readable instructions used for implementing the medium based program as claimed in claim 1, and in further view of the following, is rejected along the same rationale.

Davis discloses a computer (Davis Figure 1 items 10, 20).

## Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 12/10/2007 have been fully and carefully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues on the first page of the remarks that Davis does not teach instant claim 9. The examiner respectfully disagrees. Davis teaches a scenario that allows a computer to automatically change tags in an HTML document (via email request). At some point, tags are interchanged by a computer process and subsequently interpreted by a browser.

Applicant additionally argues that Ono merely teaches deletion of a tag and the deletion of the document area assigned a tag. The examiner respectfully disagrees. As previously explained, it is respectfully submitted that Davis teaches reading an HTML document containing a proprietary <RPM> type tag, said HTML document updated via the replacement of proprietary (i.e. extended) tags <RPM> (said tag type defining various processing, i.e. <RPMTD>, etc., with said tags themselves not intended to be viewed in a browser) with text data accordingly. Ono is used to teach "deleting" a tag pair enclosing an <RPMTD> tag, as explained in the instant rejection. Ono teaches tag management means (i.e. managing document areas) for managing the deletion of an HTML tag pair (along with enclosed data), therefore Ono's deletions are applied to Davis so as to facilitate deletion of the above tags.

#### Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action

Application/Control Number: 09/823,969

Art Unit: 2176

is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX

MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to William L. Bashore whose telephone number is (571)272-4088. The examiner can

normally be reached on 9:00 am - 5:30 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Doug

Hutton can be reached on (571) 272-4137. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained

from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available

through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer

Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR

CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/William L. Bashore/ William l. Bashore Primary Examiner Page 7

Tech Center 2100

February 18, 2008